PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: Shinna Prabhu
DOCKET NO.: 04-26784.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 08-32-419-020-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Shinna Prabhu, the appellant, by attorney Mitchell L. Klein of Schiller, Klein & McElroy, Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

The subject property consists of a 7,700 square foot parcel improved with a 38-year-old, one-story style single-family dwelling of frame construction containing 1,065 square feet of living area located in Elk Grove Township, Cook County. The improvement features amenities such as two full baths, air conditioning, and a garage.

The appellant, through counsel, submitted documentation before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant offered a spreadsheet detailing four suggested comparable properties located in the same coded assessment neighborhood as the subject. These properties consist of 35 year-old, one-story style single-family dwellings of frame or frame and masonry construction. The comparable dwellings two full baths, have garages; and two have air contain conditioning. The comparables range in size from 1,591 to 1,771 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$8.21 to \$9.28 per square foot of living area. A copy of the subject's 2004 board of review final decision was also included. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final improvement assessment of \$16,121, or \$15.14 per square foot of living area, was disclosed. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review offered property characteristic sheets and a spreadsheet (Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the <u>Cook</u> County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 5,477 IMPR.: \$ 16,092 TOTAL: \$ 21,636

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

PTAB/lbs/080

detailing four suggested comparable properties located in the same coded assessment neighborhood as the subject. The comparables consist of 36, 38, or 39 year old, one-story style single-family dwellings of frame construction. The comparables contain one full baths, one half-bath, air conditioning and have garages. These properties range in size from 1,122 to 1,136 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$15.13 to \$15.24 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject property's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The appellant's argument was unequal treatment in the assessment process. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has failed to overcome this burden.

The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that the parties submitted nine properties as comparable to the subject. The Board accords the primary weight to the board of review's comparables. These properties are similar in size, location, style, and construction type when compared to the subject. The Board places secondary weight on the appellant's comparables primarily due to their differing size when compared to the subject. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' suggested comparables when compared to the subject property, the Board finds the subject's per square foot improvement assessment is supported by the properties contained in the record.

As a result of this analysis, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the appellant failed to adequately demonstrate that the subject dwelling was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and no reduction is warranted. Member

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

The Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 25, 2008

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A $\frac{\text{PETITION AND EVIDENCE}}{\text{30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.$

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.